

## Kneading Theory

### 1. Basic definitions.

In this note we consider dynamical systems  $([0, 1], f)$  where  $f$  is *unimodal*; this means

- a)  $f(0) = f(1) = 0$
- b) there is  $c = c_f \in [0, 1]$  such that  $f$  is strictly monotone on  $[0, c]$  and on  $[c, 1]$ .

We use symbolic dynamics based on the alphabet  $A = \{0, C, 1\}$ . We write  $A^*$  and  $A^\infty$  as usual for the sets of finite and infinite words over  $A$ , and we set  $A' = A^* \cup A^\infty$ . We write  $\epsilon$  for the empty word, and  $|\alpha|$  for the length of the word  $\alpha$ . The shift,  $\sigma$ , maps  $A' \setminus \{\epsilon\} \rightarrow A'$  so that  $(\sigma\alpha)_k = \alpha_{k+1}$ .

We define an order on  $A'$  as follows: For a finite word  $\alpha$  we define  $\text{slope}(\alpha)$  to be  $(-1)^k$  where  $k$  is the number of 1's which occur in  $\alpha$ . First, define  $0 < C < 1$ . Then define  $a < b$  to mean  $a = \alpha x a'$ ,  $b = \alpha y b'$  with  $x, y \in A$ , satisfying  $\text{slope}(\alpha) > 0$  and  $x < y$  or  $\text{slope}(\alpha) < 0$  and  $x > y$ . Finally, define  $a \leq b$  to mean  $a < b$  or  $a = b$  or  $a \in A^*$  is a prefix of  $b$ . Note that, if  $a$  or  $b$  is in  $A^*$ , then  $a \leq b$  does not mean " $a < b$  or  $a = b$ ". The following is easy to check:

#### (1.0) Lemma.

- a) If  $\alpha, \beta \in A^*$ ,  $a, b \in A'$  then  $\alpha < \beta \implies \alpha a < \beta b$ .
- b) If  $\alpha \in A^*$ ,  $b, c \in A'$ , and  $b < c$  then  $\text{slope}(\alpha) > 0 \implies \alpha b < \alpha c$  and  $\text{slope}(\alpha) < 0 \implies \alpha b > \alpha c$ . The same is true if  $<$  and  $>$  are replaced by  $\leq$  and  $\geq$  throughout.
- c)  $<$  is a linear order on  $A^\infty$ .
- d) On  $A'$ ,  $\leq$  is a partial order in which any two words are comparable, satisfying  $a = b$  iff  $a \leq b$  and  $a \geq b$ .  $\square$

Now we set up the symbolic dynamics. Define  $I_0 = [0, c)$ ,  $I_C = \{c\}$ ,  $I_1 = (c, 1]$ . Then define  $I_\alpha$ , for  $\alpha = \alpha_0 \alpha_1 \cdots \in A'$ , by

$$I_\alpha = \{x \in [0, 1] : \forall k < |\alpha| + 1, f^k(x) \in I_{\alpha_k}\}.$$

Note that, for  $a \in A^\infty$ ,  $I_a$  is the nested intersection of  $I_\alpha$  where  $\alpha$  ranges over the prefixes of  $a$ . Also, note that  $fI_a \subset I_{\sigma a}$  if  $|a| > 0$ . We define  $S : [0, 1] \rightarrow A^\infty$  by  $S(x) = a$ , the *itinerary* of  $x$ , iff  $x \in I_a$ . Note that  $fI_\alpha \subset I_{\sigma\alpha}$  for  $\alpha \in A' \setminus \{\epsilon\}$ ; it follows that  $S(fx) = \sigma S(x)$ . We write  $\Sigma_f = S([0, 1]) = \{a \in A^\infty : I_a \neq \emptyset\}$ ,  $L_f = \{\alpha \in A^* : I_\alpha \neq \emptyset\}$ , and  $\Sigma'_f = \Sigma_f \cup L_f$ .

We say  $\alpha \in A'$  is *critical* iff it contains a  $C$ , and otherwise we say it is *regular*.

#### (1.1) Lemma.

- a) If  $\alpha \in \Sigma'_f$  is critical then  $I_\alpha$  is a singleton.
- b) If  $\alpha \in L_f$  is regular then  $I_\alpha$  is an interval which is open in  $[0, 1]$ , and if  $n = |\alpha| > 0$  then  $f^n|I_\alpha$  is strictly increasing if  $\text{slope}(\alpha) > 0$ , strictly decreasing if  $\text{slope}(\alpha) < 0$ .
- c) If  $\alpha \in \Sigma_f$  then  $I_\alpha$  is a non-empty interval (possibly a singleton).
- d) If  $a, b \in \Sigma'_f$  then  $a < b$  if and only if  $I_a < I_b$  (i.e.,  $x < y$  for all  $x \in I_a$ ,  $y \in I_b$ ).

*Proof.* a) is obvious, and c) is immediate from b). To prove b), proceed by induction:  $I_\alpha = \bigcap_{k=0}^{n-1} f^k|I_{\alpha_k}$  together with strict monotonicity of  $f|I_{\alpha_k}$

and  $f|I_1$  establishes that  $I_\alpha$  is an interval, open in  $[0, 1]$ , and the slope statement follows using  $f^{n+1}|I_{\alpha_0\dots\alpha_n} = (f^n|I_{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_n}) \circ (f|I_{\alpha_0})$ .

For d), first note that  $I_0 < I_C < I_1$ . Suppose  $a = \alpha xa'$ ,  $b = \beta yb'$  with  $x \neq y$ ,  $x, y \in A$ . Since  $I_{xa'} \subset I_x$  and  $I_{yb'} \subset I_y$  we have  $I_{xa'} < I_{yb'}$  iff  $x < y$  iff  $xa' < yb'$ . Suppose  $n = |\alpha|$ . If  $\text{slope}(\alpha) > 0$  then  $a < b$  iff  $x < y$ . On the other hand,  $f^n$  is strictly increasing on  $I_\alpha$ , which contains both  $I_a$  and  $I_b$ , so  $I_a < I_b$  iff  $f^n I_a < f^n I_b$ , which holds iff  $I_{xa'} < I_{yb'}$ , since these are disjoint and contain  $f^n I_a$  and  $f^n I_b$  respectively. The case of negative slope is handled similarly. Finally, if  $a \not< b$  then  $a$  is a prefix of  $b$  or vice versa, so one of  $I_a$  and  $I_b$  contains the other.  $\square$

**(1.2) Lemma.**

- a)  $S(x) < S(y) \implies x < y$ ,
- b)  $x \leq y \implies S(x) \leq S(y)$ .

*Proof.* a) is immediate from (1.1d), and b) follows from a).  $\square$

We now define the *kneading sequence* of  $f$  to be  $K = K_f = S(f(c))$ .

**(1.3) Lemma.**

- a) If  $a \in \Sigma_f$  satisfies  $a = \alpha Ca'$  then  $a = \alpha CK$ .
- b) If  $a \in \Sigma_f$  then  $\sigma^k a \leq K$  for all  $k > 0$ .
- c) If  $K = \eta CK'$  then  $\bar{K} = (\eta C)^\infty$ .
- d)  $\sigma^k K \leq K$  for all  $k > 0$ .

*Proof.* c) and d) are just specializations of a) and b), and a) is obvious since  $S(c) = CS(f(c)) = CK$ . For b), just note that  $f(c)$  is the maximum value of  $f$ .  $\square$

**(1.4) Lemma.** *If  $f$  and  $g$  are unimodal dynamical systems on  $[0, 1]$  which are topologically conjugate then  $K_f = K_g$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a conjugacy from  $f$  to  $g$ . Then  $h$  preserves the set of endpoints, and, since 0 is a fixed point but 1 is not,  $h$  maps 0 to 0 and 1 to 1. Therefore  $h$  is order preserving. Also,  $c_f$  is the only point of  $[0, 1]$  which does not have a neighborhood on which  $f$  is monotone, and a conjugacy must take  $c_f$  to the corresponding point  $c_g$  for  $g$ . Hence  $h$  carries the sets  $I_0, I_C, I_1$  for  $f$  onto the corresponding sets for  $g$ . Since  $hf^k(x) = g^k h(x)$  for all  $x$ , it is clear that the itinerary of  $x$  under  $f$  is the same as the itinerary of  $hx$  under  $g$ .  $\square$

We are interested in the converses to these results. That is, given  $K_f$ , do (1.3 a,b) characterize  $\Sigma_f$ ? Do (1.3 c,d) characterize those sequences which can be kneading sequences? And does the kneading sequence of  $f$  determine the topological conjugacy class of  $f$ ?

**2.  $K_f$  determines  $\Sigma_f$ .**

We shall prove that  $K_f$  determines  $\Sigma_f$  under some additional assumptions on  $f$ . The troublesome cases are when  $K_f$  is periodic, so we do some preliminary analysis in this case.

If  $\alpha$  is a finite word ending in 0 or 1 we will use the notation  $\hat{\alpha}$  for the word of the same length which differs from  $\alpha$  only in the last place, where a 0 is replaced by a 1 or a 1 is replaced by a 0.

Suppose first that  $K_f$  is critical. We let  $\eta$  be the maximal regular prefix of  $K$ .  $K = K_\infty = \eta C^\infty$  by (1.3 c), and we set  $m = |\eta|$ . We introduce the word

$\tau$  by the condition that  $\tau = \eta 0$  or  $\eta 1$ , chosen so that  $\tau < \eta C$ . If  $\tau = \eta 0$  then  $f^m$  takes  $I_\tau$  into  $I_0$  and takes its right endpoint  $f c$  to  $c$ . Hence  $f^m$  preserves orientation on  $I_\eta$ , so  $\text{slope}(\tau) = \text{slope}(\eta) > 0$ . In the alternative case  $\tau = \eta 1$  the corresponding argument shows that  $\text{slope}(\tau) = -\text{slope}(\eta) > 0$ . So

$$(2.0) \quad \text{slope}(\tau) > 0, \text{slope}(\hat{\tau}) < 0.$$

**(2.1) Lemma.** *Suppose  $K$  is critical.*

- a)  $\sigma^k(\tau^\infty) < K$  and  $\sigma^k(\hat{\tau}\tau^\infty) < K$  for all  $k > 0$ .
- b)  $K$  is the only element  $a$  of  $\Sigma_f$  satisfying  $\tau^\infty < a < \hat{\tau}\tau^\infty$ .

*Proof.* For  $q \geq 0$  define  $V_q = I_{\tau^{q+1}} \cup I_K \cup I_{\hat{\tau}\tau^q}$ . We claim that each  $V_q$  is a neighborhood of  $f(c)$ . (This has the consequence that  $I_{\tau^{q+1}}$  and  $I_{\hat{\tau}\tau^q}$  are not empty.) This is true for  $q = 0$ , since  $V_0 = I_\tau \cup I_{\eta C} \cup I_{\hat{\tau}} = I_\eta$  is an open interval (since  $\eta$  is regular) which contains  $I_K = \{f(c)\}$ . Now we proceed by induction:  $f^{m+1}I_K = I_K$ , so  $W = V_0 \cap f^{-m-1}(V_q) = V_0 \cap f^{-m-1}(V_q \cap \text{Im } f)$  is a neighborhood of  $f(c)$ . Note that  $\text{Im } f \cap I_{\hat{\tau}} = \emptyset$ , since  $f(c)$  is the maximum value of  $f$  and  $I_K < I_{\hat{\tau}}$ . Hence  $V_q \cap \text{Im } f = I_{\tau^q} \cup I_K$ . Now  $f^{m+1}$  is monotone on each of  $I_\tau$  and  $I_{\hat{\tau}}$ , and takes an endpoint  $f(c)$  of each of these open intervals onto  $f(c)$ , so  $f^{m+1}I_\tau$  and  $f^{m+1}I_{\hat{\tau}}$  do not contain  $f(c)$ . Hence  $W = (I_\tau \cap f^{-m-1}I_{\tau^{q+1}}) \cup I_K \cup (I_{\hat{\tau}} \cap f^{-m-1}I_{\tau^{q+1}}) = I_{\tau^{q+2}} \cup I_K \cup I_{\hat{\tau}\tau^{q+1}} = V_{q+1}$ .

Now, for  $0 < k \leq m+1$ ,  $I_{\sigma^k(\tau^2)}$  and  $I_{\sigma^k(\hat{\tau}\tau)}$  are not empty because they contain  $f^k I_{\tau^2}$  and  $f^k I_{\hat{\tau}\tau}$  respectively. Hence  $\sigma^k(\tau^2)$  and  $\sigma^k(\hat{\tau}\tau)$  are  $\leq K$  by (1.1 d); they are  $< K$  because they are regular and have length  $\geq |\eta C|$ . Part a) now follows.

Next, if  $\tau^\infty < a = S(x) < \hat{\tau}\tau^\infty$  then, for some  $q \geq 0$ ,  $\tau^{q+1} < a < \hat{\tau}\tau^q$ . Then  $I_{\tau^{q+1}} < I_a < I_{\hat{\tau}\tau^q}$  by (1.1 d), since these are not empty. But, by the claim at the start of the proof, the only point between  $I_{\tau^{q+1}}$  and  $I_{\hat{\tau}\tau^q}$  is  $f(c)$ .  $\square$

REMARK. We will need at least two more versions of (2.1 b) in the following, all with different hypotheses.

**(2.2) Lemma.** *Suppose  $K$  is critical. If  $f$  is  $C^1$  then  $V_\infty = I_{\tau^\infty} \cup I_K \cup I_{\hat{\tau}\tau^\infty}$  is a neighborhood of  $f(c)$ . In particular,  $I_{\tau^\infty}$  and  $I_{\hat{\tau}\tau^\infty}$  are nontrivial intervals.*

*Proof.* Recall the neighborhoods  $V_q$  introduced in the preceding proof. Since  $K = (\eta C)^\infty$ ,  $c$  is periodic with period  $m+1 = |\eta C|$ . Then  $f(c)$  also has period  $m+1$ , and  $Df^{m+1}(f(c)) = 0$ . So  $f(c)$  is a periodic sink, and there is a neighborhood  $U$  of  $f(c)$  in  $V_0$  satisfying  $f^{m+1}U \subset U$ . But then  $U \subset V_0 \cap f^{-m-1}V_0 = V_1$ . An induction establishes  $U \subset V_q$  for all  $q \geq 0$ , so  $U \subset V_\infty$ .  $\square$

Now we need some results for regular periodic kneading sequences. For this we introduce the class  $\mathcal{D}$  of dynamical systems  $f$  defined by

- a)  $f$  is unimodal;
- b)  $f$  is  $C^3$  and it has negative Schwarzian derivative:  $Sf < 0$ ;
- c)  $f'(x) = 0 \iff x = c$ ;
- d) If 0 is a sink then  $f^n(c) \rightarrow c$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**(2.3) Theorem.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{D}$  has a periodic semi-sink  $p$  of period  $n$  then there is a non-trivial interval  $W$  such that  $f^j p \in W$  for some  $j$ ,  $c \in \text{Int } W$ , and  $f^{nk} x \rightarrow f^j p$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $x \in W$ . Moreover, for  $x \in W$ ,  $f^{2n} x$  is closer to  $f^j p$  than  $x$  is.*

We also define  $\mathcal{D}'$  as the set of  $f \in \mathcal{D}$  which satisfy  $f''(c) \neq 0$ . The following will be proved later:

**(2.4) Theorem.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{D}'$  has no periodic semi-sink then each  $I_a$ , for  $a \in \Sigma_f$ , is a singleton.*

We can now prove

**(2.5) Proposition.** *Suppose  $f \in \mathcal{D}'$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $f$  has a periodic semi-sink.
- (2)  $K$  is periodic.
- (3)  $K$  is critical or  $I_K$  is a non-trivial interval.

*Proof.* (1)  $\implies$  (2): If  $c$  is periodic then so is  $K$ , since  $K$  is critical iff  $c$  is periodic. So we assume that  $c$  is not periodic. Let  $p$  be a periodic semi-sink of least period  $n$ . Let  $W$  be the interval guaranteed by (2.3); replacing  $p$  by  $f^{-j} p$ , we have  $p \in W$ . Let  $J$  be the closed subinterval of  $W$  with endpoints  $p$  and  $c$ . We claim that  $c \notin f^k J$  for any  $k > 0$ . Suppose that  $k = qn + r > 0$  with  $0 \leq r < n$ , that  $f^k J$  contains  $c$ , and that  $k$  is minimal. Since  $f^{mn} x \rightarrow f^r p$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $x \in f^k W$  and  $f^{mn} c \rightarrow p$  we conclude that  $r = 0$ . Since  $k$  is minimal,  $f^k$  is monotone on  $J$ , and since  $f^k p = p$  we have  $f^k J \supset J$ . Hence  $f^k c$  is farther from  $p$  than  $c$  is, and there is  $x \in J$  with  $f^k x = c$ . Therefore  $f^{2k} x = f^k c$  is farther from  $p$  than  $x$  is, contradicting (2.3). Now it follows that all points in  $fJ$  have the same itinerary, so  $K = S(fp)$ , which is periodic.

(2)  $\implies$  (3): Suppose  $K$  is periodic and  $I_K$  is a singleton, so  $I_K = \{fc\}$ . Then  $fc$  is periodic, say of period  $n$ . Set  $p = f^{n-1} c$ . Then  $fp = fc$  implies  $p = c$  because  $f$  takes on its maximum only at  $c$ . Hence  $c$  is periodic, so  $K$  is critical.

(3)  $\implies$  (1): If  $K$  is critical then  $c$  is a periodic semi-sink. Suppose  $K$  is regular and  $I_K$  is a non-trivial interval. Then  $f^n$  is monotone on  $I_K$  for all  $n > 0$  and  $f^n I_K \subset I_K$  for some  $n > 0$ , which implies that  $f^n$  has a fixed semi-sink in  $I_K$ .  $\square$

**(2.6) Corollary.** *For  $f \in \mathcal{D}'$ , if  $I_K$  is a nontrivial interval then it contains a periodic semi-sink and it contains  $fc$  in its interior.*

*Proof.* The first part of the proof shows that  $I_K$  contains the closed interval  $J$  between  $fc$  and a periodic semi-sink, and that  $f^{2n}(fc)$  lies in the interior of  $J$ . Since the itinerary of  $fc$  does not contain  $c$ , there is a neighborhood  $U$  of  $fc$  such that  $f^k U$  does not contain  $c$  for  $0 \leq k \leq 2n$ , with  $f^{2n} U \subset J$ . Hence  $U \subset I_K$ , so  $fc \in \text{Int } I_K$ .  $\square$

Now we are ready to prove a partial converse to (1.3 ab).

**(2.7) Theorem.** *Suppose  $f \in \mathcal{D}'$ . Then  $b \in \Sigma_f$  if and only if*

- (1) If  $b = \zeta C b'$  then  $b = \zeta C K$ .
- (2)  $\sigma^k b \leq K$  for all  $k > 0$ .
- (3) If  $K$  is critical or is regular and not periodic and  $\sigma^{n+1} b = K$  for some  $n > 0$  then  $b = C$ .

*Proof.* Necessity of (1) and (2) was shown in (1.3). For (3), suppose  $b = S(x)$  and  $\sigma^{n+1}b = K$ . Set  $y = f^n x$  and note that  $fy$  and  $fc$  are both in  $I_K$ . If  $K$  is critical or regular and not periodic then  $I_K$  is a singleton, by (2.5), so  $fy = fc$ . Since  $f$  takes on its maximum value only at  $c$ , we have  $y = c$ . Hence  $b_n = C$ .

Now we prove sufficiency. Assume (1) – (3) and suppose  $b \notin \Sigma_f$ . Define

$$L = \{x \in [0, 1] : S(x) < b\}, \quad U = \{x \in [0, 1] : S(x) > b\}.$$

We shall show that  $L$  and  $U$  are open. Assuming this, we finish the proof as follows: We have  $L \cup U = [0, 1]$  (since  $b \notin \Sigma_f$ ),  $L \cap U = \emptyset$ , and  $0^\infty \in L$ ,  $10^\infty \in U$ . This contradicts the connectedness of  $[0, 1]$ .

We give the proof that  $L$  is open; the proof for  $U$  is entirely similar.

Suppose  $x \in L$  and set  $a = S(x)$ . We shall show  $x \in \text{Int } L$ . Since  $a < b$  we can write  $a = \tilde{\alpha}a_n a'$ ,  $b = \tilde{\alpha}b_n b'$  with  $\alpha = \tilde{\alpha}a_n < \beta = \tilde{\alpha}b_n$ . So  $\alpha < b$ , and if  $\alpha$  is regular we have  $x \in I_\alpha \subset L$  with  $I_\alpha$  open and we are done.

So assume  $\alpha$  is critical. We claim  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is regular. Otherwise, suppose  $\tilde{\alpha}$  has a prefix of the form  $\gamma C$ . Then  $\gamma C$  is a prefix of both  $a$  and  $b$ , so  $a = \gamma C K = b$ . Therefore  $\alpha = \tilde{\alpha}C$  and  $a = \alpha K$ , and  $\beta$  is regular.

Thus we have  $\hat{\beta} < \alpha < \beta$ , with  $I_{\tilde{\alpha}} = I_{\hat{\beta}} \cup I_\alpha \cup I_\beta$ . Since  $f^{n+1}$  is monotone on  $I_\beta$  and carries the left endpoint,  $x$ , of  $I_\beta$  to the maximum value  $fc$  of  $f$ , we have  $\text{slope}(\beta) < 0$ .

Now  $\sigma^{n+1}b = b' \leq K$ . We first suppose  $b' < K$ . Then there is a prefix  $\delta$  of  $K$  such that  $b' < \delta$ , so  $\beta\delta < \beta b' = b$  since  $\text{slope}(\beta) < 0$ .

If  $\delta$  is regular then  $I_\delta$  is open and non-empty since it contains  $fc = f^{n+1}x$ , so  $W = I_{\tilde{\alpha}} \cap f^{-n-1}I_\delta = I_{\hat{\beta}\delta} \cup I_{\alpha\delta} \cup I_{\beta\delta}$  is a neighborhood of  $x$  and  $W \subset L$  since  $\hat{\beta}\delta < \alpha\delta < \beta\delta < b$ . So we are done in this case.

Assume next that  $\delta$  is critical, so  $K = (\eta C)^\infty$ . Define  $\tau$  and  $V_\infty$  as in (2.1) and (2.2). Then  $f^{m+1}x = fc \in V_\infty$ , so  $W = I_{\tilde{\alpha}} \cap f^{-m-1}V_\infty$  is a neighborhood of  $x$ . Since the image of  $f$  misses  $I_{\hat{\tau}^\infty}$  we have  $W = I_{\hat{\beta}\tau^\infty} \cup I_{\alpha K} \cup I_{\beta\tau^\infty}$ . We claim that  $b' < \tau^\infty$ . Once this is shown we have  $\hat{\beta}\tau^\infty < \alpha K < \beta\tau^\infty < b$ , so  $W \subset L$  as above.

To prove the claim, first suppose  $\tau^\infty < b' \leq (\eta C)^\infty$ . Then let  $k \geq 0$  be the largest integer such that  $\tau^k$  is a prefix of  $b'$ . Write  $b' = \tau^k b''$ . Since  $\text{slope}(\tau) > 0$  we have  $\tau^\infty < b''$ , and since  $b'' = \sigma^{(m+1)k} b' \leq K$  we have  $\tau^\infty < b'' \leq (\eta C)^\infty$ . Then, since  $k$  is minimal and  $\eta$  is a prefix of both  $\tau$  and  $\eta C$ , either  $\hat{\tau}$  or  $\eta C$  is a prefix of  $b''$ . The former can't happen since  $\eta C < \hat{\tau}$ , so  $b'' = (\eta C)^\infty$  and  $b = \beta\tau^k K$ . This contradicts (3). Hence the only possibility for  $b' \geq \tau^\infty$  is  $b' = \tau^\infty$ , so  $b = \beta\tau^\infty$ . Since  $I_{\beta\tau^\infty}$  is not empty this contradicts the assumption that  $b \notin \Sigma_f$ .

Finally we have the case  $b' = K$ . Then  $b = \beta K$  with  $\beta$  regular. So, by (3),  $K$  is regular and periodic. By (2.5) and (2.6)  $I_K$  contains  $fc$  in its interior. Then  $W = I_{\tilde{\alpha}} \cap f^{-n-1}I_K = I_{\hat{\beta}K} \cup I_{\alpha K} \cup I_{\beta K}$  is a neighborhood of  $x$ . In particular,  $I_{\beta K} = I_b$  is non-empty, contradicting  $b \notin \Sigma_f$ .  $\square$

### 3. Determining kneading sequences.

The following is an intermediate value theorem for kneading sequences. Applied to  $f(x, \lambda) = \lambda x(1-x)$  for  $\lambda \in [1, 4]$  it has the consequence that any sequence satisfying (1.2 and d) is the kneading sequence of some map.

**(3.1) Theorem.** *Suppose  $f : [0, 1] \times [a, b] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is  $C^3$ , with  $f_\lambda = f(\cdot, \lambda) \in \mathcal{D}'$  for all  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ , and suppose all  $f_\lambda$  have the same critical point,  $c$ . Write  $K^\lambda$  for the kneading sequence of  $f_\lambda$ . If the sequence  $M$  satisfies*

- (1) *If  $M = \eta C M'$  then  $M = (\eta C)^\infty$ ,*
- (2)  *$\sigma^k M \leq M$  for all  $k > 0$ ,*
- (3)  *$K^a \leq M \leq K^b$ ,*

*then  $M = K^\lambda$  for some  $\lambda \in [a, b]$ .*

*Proof.* We follow the same outline as in (2.7). So suppose that  $M \neq K^\lambda$  for all  $\lambda$  and set

$$L = \{ \lambda \in [a, b] : K^\lambda < M \}, \quad U = \{ \lambda \in [a, b] : K^\lambda > M \}.$$

We shall show that  $L$  and  $U$  are open. They are not empty, since  $a \in L$  and  $b \in U$  by hypothesis; they are disjoint; and their union is  $[0, 1]$  by assumption. This contradicts connectivity of  $[0, 1]$ .

We give the proof for  $L$ ; the proof for  $U$  is similar.

Suppose  $\lambda \in L$ , and let  $\zeta$  be the minimal prefix of  $K^\lambda$  such that  $\zeta < M$ .

If  $\zeta$  is regular then there is a neighborhood  $W$  of  $\lambda$  such that  $\zeta$  is a prefix of  $K^\mu$  for all  $\mu \in W$  (since this condition is defined by a fixed finite set of strict inequalities between  $c$  and  $f_\mu^k(c)$ ). Then  $W \subset L$ .

So suppose that  $\zeta$  is critical. Write  $\zeta = \eta \zeta_m$ . Since  $\zeta$  is minimal,  $\eta$  is a prefix of  $M$ . Then  $\eta$  is regular, since otherwise  $M$  and  $K^\lambda$  share a critical prefix, so  $K^\lambda = M$ . So  $\zeta = \eta C$ , so  $c$  is periodic with period  $m+1$ , and  $Df_\lambda^{m+1}(c) = 0$ . We have  $K^\lambda = (\eta C)^\infty < M$ .

Denoting by  $I_a^\lambda$  the intervals constructed using  $f_\lambda$ , let  $\delta > 0$  be fixed so that  $J = [c - \delta, c + \delta]$  lies in  $f_\lambda^{-1} I_\eta^\lambda = I_{0\eta}^\lambda \cup I_{C\eta}^\lambda \cup I_{1\eta}^\lambda$  and  $|Df_\lambda^{m+1}(x)| < \frac{1}{4}$  for all  $x \in J$ . Let  $W$  be a neighborhood of  $\lambda$  such that, for all  $\mu \in W$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} J &\subset f_\mu^{-1} I_\eta^\mu, \\ |c - f_\mu^{m+1} c| &< \frac{\delta}{2}, \\ |Df_\mu^{m+1}(x)| &< \frac{1}{2} \text{ for all } x \in J. \end{aligned}$$

It follows, by the Banach contraction Theorem (or more elementary means), that, for all  $\mu \in W$ ,  $f_\mu^{m+1}$  has a unique fixed point  $p^\mu$  in  $J$ , and that  $f_\mu^{m+1}(x)$  lies in  $J$  and is closer to  $p^\mu$  than  $x$  is for all  $x \in J$ . Suppose  $p^\mu \neq c$ . Since  $f_\mu J \subset I_\eta^\mu$  and  $\eta$  is regular we have  $c \notin f^k J$  for  $0 < k \leq m$ . Hence, since  $c$  moves closer to  $p^\mu$  under  $f_\mu^{m+1}$  but still lies in  $J$ , we continue inductively to the conclusion that  $c$  doesn't lie in the image under  $f_\mu^n$  of the closed interval between  $c$  and  $p^\mu$ , for all  $n > 0$ . It follows that  $f_\mu c$  and  $f_\mu p^\mu$  have the same itinerary. So, in any case,  $K^\mu$  is the itinerary of  $f_\mu p^\mu$ .

Now remember the definition of  $\tau$  from Section 2. Since  $p^\mu \in J$  we have  $f_\mu p^\mu \in I_\eta^\mu = I_\tau^\mu \cup I_{\eta C}^\mu \cup I_{\hat{\tau}}^\mu$ . Since  $f_\mu p^\mu$  is periodic its itinerary is  $\tau^\infty$  or  $(\eta C)^\infty$  or  $\hat{\tau}^\infty$ .

We claim now that  $W \subset L$ . If no  $K^\mu = \hat{\tau}^\infty$  we have  $K^\mu \leq (\eta C)^\infty < M$  for all  $\mu \in W$ , as required. Otherwise,  $K^\mu = \hat{\tau}^\infty$  for some  $\mu$ , so  $M \neq \hat{\tau}^\infty$ . We will show that  $(\eta C)^\infty < M < \hat{\tau}^\infty$  is impossible, so  $\hat{\tau}^\infty < M$ , which implies  $W \subset L$ .

So suppose  $(\eta C)^\infty < M < \hat{\tau}^\infty$ . Since  $\eta$  is a prefix of  $\hat{\tau}$  and of  $\eta C$  it is a prefix of  $M$ . So either  $\eta C$  or  $\hat{\tau}$  is a prefix of  $M$ . If  $\eta C$  is a prefix of  $M$  then  $M = (\eta C)^\infty$ .

$(\eta C)^\infty = K^\lambda$ , a contradiction. So  $M = \hat{\tau}M'$ . Then  $M' = \sigma^{m+1}M \leq M < \hat{\tau}^\infty$  and, since  $\text{slope}(\hat{\tau}) < 0$ , we have  $M = \hat{\tau}M' > \hat{\tau}^\infty$ , a contradiction.

In the proof that  $U$  is open we need the similar fact that  $\tau^\infty < M < (\eta C)^\infty$  is impossible. We proceed as above to deduce that  $M = \tau^k M'$  with  $k > 0$  maximal. Then  $\tau^\infty < M'$  because  $\text{slope}(\tau^k) > 0$ , and  $M' \leq M = \tau^k M'$ , so  $\tau$  is a prefix of  $M'$ , contradicting the choice of  $k$ .  $\square$

#### 4. Faithful symbolic dynamics.

The main job of this section is the proof of Theorem (2.4). There are various preliminary lemmas. We suppose  $f$  is a fixed unimodal dynamical system.

Define  $x' = (f|_{I_1})^{-1}(fx)$  if  $x \in I_0$ ,  $x' = (f|_{I_0})^{-1}(fx)$  if  $x \in I_1$ , and  $c' = c$ . Note that  $f(x) = f(x')$  and  $x \neq x'$  for  $x \neq c$ . Then  $h(x) = x'$  defines a homeomorphism of  $[0, 1]$  onto itself, with  $h^2 = \text{id}$  and  $fh = f$ . If  $f$  is  $C^1$  then the inverse function theorem shows that  $h$  is  $C^1$  except, perhaps, at  $c$ , with

$$(4.0) \quad Dh(x) = \frac{Df(x)}{Df(x')} = \frac{1}{Dh(x')}.$$

**(4.1) Lemma.** *If  $f$  is  $C^2$  and  $f''(c) \neq 0$  then*

$$0 < \delta = \inf_{x \in I} |Dh(x)| \leq 1.$$

*Proof.*  $\delta \leq 1$  follows from (4.0).

Let  $a = |f''(c)|$  and let  $U_0$  be an open interval containing  $c$  such that  $\frac{a}{2} < |f''(\xi)| < 2a$  for all  $\xi \in U_0$ . For  $b \in U_0$  close enough to  $c$  and less than  $c$  we have  $b' \in U_0$ , so if we define  $U = (b, b')$  we have  $U \subset U_0$  and  $hU = U$ . Then  $|h'(x)|$  is bounded away from 0 on  $U$ , and we only need a bound on  $U$ .

By Taylor's theorem we have  $|f(x) - f(c)| = \frac{1}{2}|f''(\xi)||x - c|^2$  with  $\xi$  between  $c$  and  $x$ , so  $|f(x) - f(c)| \leq a|x - c|^2$  for  $x \in U$ . Applying the same argument to  $x' \in U$  we have  $|f(x) - f(c)| = |f(x') - f(c)| \geq \frac{a}{4}|x' - c|^2$ . Putting these together we get  $|x - c| \geq \frac{1}{2}|x' - c|$ . Also by the mean value theorem we have  $|f'(x)| = |f''(\eta)||x - c|$  with  $\eta$  between  $c$  and  $x$ . Hence  $|f'(x)| \geq \frac{a}{2}|x - c| \geq \frac{a}{4}|x' - c|$  for  $x \in U$ , and  $|f'(x')| \leq 2a|x' - c|$ . Dividing one by the other yields  $|h'(x)| \geq \frac{1}{8}$  for all  $x \in U$ .  $\square$

We use  $\ell(J)$  for the length of the interval  $J$ . From (4.1) and the mean value theorem we deduce, under the assumptions of (4.1),

$$(4.2) \quad \text{If } J \text{ is an interval which does not contain } c \text{ then } \ell(J') \geq \delta \ell(J).$$

**(4.3) Lemma.** *If  $J$  is an interval,  $f^n$  is monotone on  $J$ , and  $f^n J \subset J$  or  $J'$  then  $f$  has a periodic semi-sink.*

*Proof.* If  $f^n J \subset J$  then  $f^n$  has a fixed semi-sink in  $\text{Cl } J$  (old result). Otherwise,  $f^{n+1} J \subset fJ' = fJ$  and  $f^n$  is monotone on  $fJ$  so  $f^n$  has a fixed semi-sink in  $\text{Cl } fJ$ .  $\square$

**(4.4) Lemma.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $J$  is an interval on which  $f^n$  is monotone then the minimum value of  $|Df^n|$  on  $\text{Cl } J$  occurs at one of the endpoints.*

*Proof.* This is just a restatement of the basic fact about functions  $g$  with negative Schwarzian:  $Dg$  has no positive local minimum or negative local maximum in the interior of its domain.  $\square$

We shall need the following result, which was proved earlier.

**(4.5) Lemma.** *Suppose  $f$  is  $C^2$ ,  $x \in [0, 1]$ , and  $c \notin \text{Cl } \mathcal{O}(x)$ . If  $x \in J$  and  $\Sigma\ell(f^k J)$  is finite then there is a neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$  such that  $\Sigma\ell(f^k V)$  is finite.*

Now we prove (2.4), which we restate:

**(2.4) Theorem.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{D}'$  has no periodic semi-sink then each  $I_a$ , for  $a \in \Sigma_f$ , is a singleton.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $f \in \mathcal{D}'$  does not have a periodic semi-sink and  $J = I_a$  is a non-trivial interval. We shall derive a contradiction after a number of claims. Note that  $a$  is not critical.

**Claim 1.**  $f^n J \cap f^m J = \emptyset$  for all  $n \neq m$ .

If  $f^n J \cap f^{n+k} J \neq \emptyset$  with  $k > 0$  then  $f^{n+qk} J \cap f^{n+(q+1)k} J \neq \emptyset$  for all  $q \geq 0$ , so  $V = \bigcup_{q=0}^{\infty} f^{n+qk} J$  is an interval, and  $f^k V \subset V$ . Since  $f^k$  has no critical point on each  $f^{n+qk} J$ ,  $f^k$  is monotone on  $V$ . With (4.3) we have a contradiction.

**Claim 2.**  $f^n J \cap (f^m J)' = \emptyset$  for all  $n \neq m$ .

Otherwise  $f^{n+1} J \cap f^{m+1} J \neq \emptyset$ .

**Claim 3.** *If  $x$  is an endpoint of  $J$  then  $c \in \text{Cl } \mathcal{O}(x)$ .*

From the above,  $\Sigma\ell(f^k \text{Cl } J)$  is finite. If  $c \notin \text{Cl } \mathcal{O}(x)$  then (4.5) gives a neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$  such that  $\Sigma\ell(f^k V)$  is finite. We can shrink  $V$  until this sum is less than the distance from  $\mathcal{O}(x)$  to  $c$ , so  $c \notin f^k V$  for all  $k \geq 0$ . Hence, since  $J \cap V$  is not empty, the points of  $V$  have the same itinerary as those in  $J$ , so  $V \subset J$ , a contradiction.

**Claim 4.** *If  $x$  is an endpoint of  $J$  then  $c \notin \mathcal{O}(x)$ .*

Otherwise, if  $f^k x = c$  then  $\tilde{J} = I_{\sigma^{k+1}a} \supset f^{k+1} J$  is a non-trivial interval with  $f c$  as right endpoint. By Claim 3 applied to  $\tilde{J}$  there is  $n > 0$  such that  $f^n \tilde{J}$  meets this interval, contradicting Claim 1 for  $\tilde{J}$ .

It follows from Claim 4 that the endpoints of  $J$  have the same itinerary as points of  $J$ , so  $J$  is closed.

NOTATION. If  $K, L$  are disjoint closed intervals then we write  $(K, L)$  for the open interval of points between  $K$  and  $L$ , and  $[K, L] = K \cup (K, L) \cup L$ . If either  $K$  or  $L$  is a singleton we replace it in this notation with its element. The main point here is that we don't insist that  $K < L$ .

**(4.6) Lemma.** *Suppose  $d > 0$  and let  $S$  be the set of  $x$  satisfying*

$$\forall k \text{ s.t. } 0 < k < d, f^k x \notin [x, x'] \text{ and } f^d x \in (x, x').$$

*If  $V$  is a component of  $S$  and  $x$  is an endpoint of  $V$  then  $f^d x = x$  or  $f^d x = x'$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly  $S$  is open and an endpoint  $x$  of  $V$  satisfies  $f^k x = x$  or  $f^k x = x'$  for some  $k$ ,  $0 < k \leq d$ . Suppose  $k < d$  is minimal with  $f^k x = x$  and write  $d = kq + r$ ,  $0 \leq r < k$ . Suppose first that  $r = 0$ . If  $f^k x = x$  we have  $f^d x = x$ . If  $f^k x = x'$  we have  $f^{2k} x = f^k(x') = f^{k-1}(f(x')) = f^{k-1}(f(x)) = f^k x = x'$ . Continuing by induction leads to  $f^d x = x'$ . On the other hand, if  $r \neq 0$  we have  $f^d x = f^r x$  or  $f^d x = f^r(x') = f^{r-1}f(x') = f^{r-1}f(x) = f^r x$ , and since  $r < k$  and  $k$  is minimal we have  $f^d x = f^r x \notin [x, x']$ . So for  $y \in V$  close enough to  $x$  we have  $f^d y \notin [y, y']$ , contradicting  $y \in S$ .  $\square$

**(4.7) Corollary.**  $|Df^d(x)| \geq \delta$  for all  $x \in V$ .

*Proof.*  $c \notin V$  because then  $f^d c \in (c, c') = \emptyset$ , and  $c \notin f^k V$  for  $0 < k < d$  because if  $c = f^k x$  then  $c \notin [x, x']$ , which is false. So  $f^d$  is monotone on  $V$ . If  $f^d x = x$  for an endpoint  $x$  of  $V$  then  $|Df^d(x)| \geq 1$  since  $x$  is not a periodic semi-sink. If  $f^d x = x'$  then  $f^d(fx) = fx' = fx$ , so we have  $|Df^d(fx)| \geq 1$ . But then

$$\begin{aligned} |Df^d(x)| &= |Df(x)Df(fx) \dots Df(f^{d-1}x)| \\ &= |Df(fx) \dots Df(f^d x)| \left| \frac{Df(x)}{Df(f^d x)} \right| \\ &= |Df^d(fx)| \left| \frac{Df(x')}{Df(x)} \right| \\ &\geq 1 \cdot |Dh(x')| \geq \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Now the result follows from (4.4).  $\square$

Now we define a sequence  $k_n$  by  $k_0 = 0$  and  $k_n =$  the smallest  $l > k_{n-1}$  such that  $f^l J \subset (f^{k_{n-1}} J, (f^{k_{n-1}} J)')$ . By Claims 1, 2, 3 this is well-defined.

**Claim 5.**  $\ell(f^{k_n} J) \geq \delta \ell(f^{k_{n-1}} J)$ .

This follows from (4.7) with  $d = k_n - k_{n-1}$  upon noting that  $f^{k_{n-1}} J \subset S$ .

Now for  $m > 0$  let  $\alpha$  be the prefix of  $a$  of length  $m$ . Since  $I_\alpha$  is the nested intersection of the  $I_\alpha$ 's we can find  $m_0$  such that, for all  $m \geq m_0$ ,  $\ell(I_\alpha) < (1 + \delta^2)\ell(I_\alpha)$ . We set  $m = k_n$  and assume  $n$  is large enough that  $m \geq m_0$ . The goal is to show that  $\ell(f^{k_n} J) \geq \ell(f^{k_{n-1}} J)$ . This contradicts Claim 1 and finishes the proof.

For this  $m$  write  $I_\alpha$  as the disjoint union of the nontrivial intervals  $L$ ,  $J$ , and  $R$ , with  $L < J < R$ , and write  $\xi < \eta$  for the endpoints of  $I_\alpha$ .

From the choice of  $m_0$  we have

**Claim 6.**  $\frac{\ell(L)}{\ell(J)}, \frac{\ell(R)}{\ell(J)} < \delta^2$ .

**Claim 7.**  $\frac{\ell(f^m J)}{\ell(J)} \geq \text{either } \frac{\ell(f^m L)}{\ell(L)} \text{ or } \frac{\ell(f^m R)}{\ell(R)}$ .

To prove this, suppose  $|Df^m|$  takes on its minimum on  $J$  at the left endpoint (using (4.4)). Then the maximum of  $|Df^m|$  on  $L$  must be equal to this minimum value, since otherwise  $|Df^m|$  would have a non-zero local minimum in  $L \cup J$ . Now apply the mean value theorem.

**Claim 8.**  $c \in f^m \text{Cl} I_\alpha = f^m[\xi, \eta]$ .

Assume not. Then either  $f^m \text{Cl} L$  or  $f^m \text{Cl} R$  is in the interval  $(f^m J, c)$ . Assume the latter. Then for some  $j < m$  we have  $f^j \eta = c$ . Since  $j < m$ ,  $f^j J$  lies in the complement of  $[f^m J, (f^m J)']$ . Hence  $f^j R$  or  $(f^j R)'$  contains  $f^m J$  and we apply (4.3) to  $f^{m-j}$  on  $f^j R$  to find a periodic semi-sink.

Henceforth we assume

$$(4.8) \quad c \in f^m(\text{Cl} R).$$

**Claim 9.**  $f^m L \supset f^{k_{n-1}} J$  or  $(f^{k_{n-1}} J)'$ .

Write  $K = f^{k_{n-1}} J$ ,  $M = (K, c)$ . For some  $i \leq m$  we have  $f^i \xi = c$  and (4.8) implies  $i < m$ . Then  $f^i J$  is in the complement of  $[K, K']$  unless  $i = k_{n-1}$ , so  $f^i L$  contains  $M \cup K$  or  $M' \cup K'$  unless  $i = k_{n-1}$ . On the other hand,  $f^m J \subset M$  or  $M'$ , and  $f^m L$  is on the same side of  $f^m J$  as  $K$  or  $K'$  respectively. We now assume that  $f^m L$  does not contain  $K$  or  $K'$ ; by the preceding discussion this implies that  $f^m L$  lies in  $M \cup K$  or  $M' \cup K'$ . If  $i \neq k_{n-1}$  this leads to a periodic semi-sink via (4.3) applied to  $f^{m-i}$  on  $f^i L$ . If  $i = k_{n-1}$  then  $f^i L = M$  and  $f^{m-i}(M \cup K) = f^m L \cup f^m J \subset M \cup K$  or  $M' \cup K'$ , and we apply (4.3) to  $f^{m-i}$  on  $M \cup K$  to produce a periodic semi-sink.

**Claim 10.**  $\frac{\ell(f^m R)}{\ell(R)} > \frac{\ell(f^m J)}{\ell(J)} \geq \frac{\ell(f^m L)}{\ell(L)}$ .

By Claim 8,  $f^m R$  contains  $f^{k_{m+1}} J$  or  $(f^{k_{m+1}} J)'$ . From Claim 5 we have  $\ell(f^{k_{m+1}} J) \geq \delta \ell(f^m J)$  and from (4.2) we have  $\ell((f^{k_{m+1}} J)') \geq \delta \ell(f^{k_{m+1}} J)$ . Considering both cases, we have  $\ell(f^m R) \geq \delta^2 \ell(f^m J)$ . From Claim 6,  $\ell(R) < \delta^2 \ell(J)$ , so division yields the first inequality of the claim. The second now follows from Claim 7.

We can now finish the proof by showing

**Claim 11.**  $\ell(f^{k_n} J) \geq \ell(f^{k_{n-1}} J)$ .

Let  $r = \ell(f^{k_{n-1}} J)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta r &\leq \ell(f^m L) && \text{by Claim 9 and (4.2)} \\ &\leq \frac{\ell(L)}{\ell(J)} \ell(f^m J) && \text{by Claim 10} \\ &\leq \delta^2 \ell(f^m J) && \text{by Claim 6.} \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\ell(f^m J) \geq \delta^{-1} r > r$ , as required.  $\square$