

Wind-up:

Using the facts that ~~an extract of a line~~, for any level of extraction (direct extracts, the direct extracts of the preceding ones, etc.), the extracts of a line determine the same function as the line, it follows [Using the notion of partial table] that by replacing lines in a weak table (for a permutation) by ~~sets~~ a set of extracts, we can always arrange from two weak tables in 'nice' form (right sequence of A-line ending in 1) to obtain the composition; Hence we have a way of representing the elements of  $G$  by finite (modulo  $A$ ) artifacts - and multiplying them effectively. To check whether such an artifact represents the identity is easy: so  $G$  has a solvable W.P. - if  $A$  does.

Start: Suppose that  $A' = A$  ( $A'$ : commutator subgroup of  $A$ ).

To show that  $G$  is simple: say  $a \in A$ ,  $a \neq 1$  in the factor group, but  $a \neq 1$ . Then for some finite binary sequences  $\sigma, \tau$ , we have  $\sigma \neq \tau$  - in fact,  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  determine disjoint intervals - and  $\sigma \rightarrow \tau$  is an extract of a line of  $A$ . Hence, if  $X \in E$ , and  $X \neq 1$ ,  $X_\sigma, X_\tau$  are the 'similar' actions, ~~iff~~  $X$ , on  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$ , we have  $a^{-1} X_\sigma a = X_\tau$ . Hence,  $a^{-1} X_\sigma a \sim X_\tau$ ; so  $X_\sigma \sim X_\tau$ . As  $X_\sigma \neq X_\tau$

(since  $\sigma \neq \tau$  and  $X \neq 1$ ), we obtain a relation in  $E'$ . As  $E'$  is simple, this means  $X \sim 1$  for all  $X \in E'$  in the factor group.

This easily collapses the whole group (for  $g \in A^*$ ,  $\exists p \in X$ ,  $[p^{-1} g p, a] = 1$  - hence  $g h \sim h g$  &  $\therefore A^*$  collapses to Abelian. But  $A^* \cong A$ , &  $A' = A$ , so  $A^*$  collapses to 1).

(Now apply the 2nd Postcard to get from  $A$ , a fig.  $\hat{A}^*$  (if  $A$  f.g.)  
s.t.  $(\hat{A}^*)' = \hat{A}^*$ ).

Let  $A$ , with a solvable word problem, be a group of permutations of the set  $J$  of positive integers and such that, for  $j, k \in J$  and  $g \in A$ , it is decidable whether or not  $g(j) = k$ . (Convention:  $(gh)(j) = h(g(j))$ ).

Now let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the set of all finite sets  $B \neq \emptyset$  of ordered pairs  $\langle m, g \rangle$ ,  $m \in J$ ,  $g \in A$ , such that for all  $m \in J$ ,  $g, h \in A$ ,  $\langle m, g \rangle, \langle m, h \rangle \in B \implies g = h$ .

And let  $h(B)$ , for  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $= \{ \langle m+1, g \rangle : \langle m, g \rangle \in B \}$ . Then  $h(B) \in \mathcal{B}$ .

Let us call an ordered pair  $\langle B, m \rangle$  where  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $m = 0$  or  $m \in J$  acceptable if for every  $\langle m, g \rangle \in B$  we have, for all  $x \geq m$ ,  $g(x) \neq m \geq m_0$ .

For  $m \in J \cup \{0\}$ , ~~let  $B, C \in \mathcal{B}$~~  and  $B, C \in \mathcal{B}$ , let  $B_{(m)}^* C = \{ \langle m, g \rangle : (\langle m, g \rangle \in B \ \& \ \forall h \in A. (\langle m-m, h \rangle \in C) \vee (\langle m-m, g \rangle \in C \ \& \ \forall h \in A. (\langle m, h \rangle \notin B)) \vee (\langle m, f \rangle \in B \ \& \ \langle m-m, h \rangle \in C \ \& \ g = fh)) \}$ . Then  $B_{(m)}^* C \in \mathcal{B}$ .

Let  $B[g]$  be ~~the set~~  $\{ \langle 1, g \rangle \}$ , for  $g \in A$ . Then  $B[g] \in \mathcal{B}$ .

Regular lines are of the form:  $\sigma \rightarrow \tau$  where  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are finite binary sequences;  $\sigma$  is the left sequence,  $\tau$  the right sequence of the line.

A-lines are of the form:  $\sigma \xrightarrow{B} \tau, m$  where  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are finite binary sequences, ~~and~~  $m \in J \cup \{0\}$ , and  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ ; again,  $\sigma$  is the left sequence,  $\tau$  the right sequence of the line.

For  $\sigma$  a finite binary sequence, let  $[\sigma] = \{ \beta \in \mathcal{K} : \sigma \in \beta \}$ , where  $\mathcal{K}$  is equal to the set of all binary sequences of length  $w$  which are not eventually either 0 or 1.

The composition of lines: We define:

- (1)  $(\sigma \rightarrow \tau) \cdot (\tau \rightarrow \rho) = (\sigma \rightarrow \rho)$
- (2)  $(\sigma \rightarrow \tau) \cdot (\tau \xrightarrow{B} \rho, m) = (\sigma \xrightarrow{B} \rho, m)$
- (3)  $(\sigma \xrightarrow{B} \tau, m) \cdot (\tau \rightarrow \rho) = (\sigma \xrightarrow{B} \rho, m)$
- (4)  $(\sigma \xrightarrow{B} \tau, m) \cdot (\tau \xrightarrow{C} \rho, w) = (\sigma \xrightarrow{B_{(m)}^* C} \rho, m+w)$ .

weak table  $\Pi$  finite  
 A table is a collection of lines, all of whose left sequences are distinct, such that the set  $\Sigma$  of left sequences of the lines is such that  $\{\sigma : \sigma \in \Sigma\}$  forms a partition of  $\mathcal{X}$ , and such that if  $\sigma \xrightarrow{B} \tau, m$  belongs to  $\Pi$ , then  $\langle B, m \rangle$  is acceptable.

The direct extracts of a regular line  $\sigma \rightarrow \tau$  are the lines  $\sigma^{\wedge} 0 \rightarrow \tau^{\wedge} 0$  and  $\sigma^{\wedge} 1 \rightarrow \tau^{\wedge} 1$ ;  
 The direct extracts of an A-line  $\sigma \xrightarrow{B} \tau, m$ , where  $\langle B, m \rangle$  is acceptable, are the lines (where  $m^* = \max \{m : \exists g \in A, \langle m, g \rangle \in B\}$ ):  
 $\sigma^{\wedge} 1 \xrightarrow{h(B)} \tau, m+1$  and  $\sigma^{\wedge} \langle 0 \rangle^{m^*+1} \rightarrow \tau^{\wedge} \langle 1 \rangle^{m^*} \langle 0 \rangle^{m^*+1}$ , and, for  $0 < m \leq m^*$ ,  
 $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sigma^{\wedge} \langle 0 \rangle^{m^*} \langle 1 \rangle \rightarrow \tau^{\wedge} \langle 1 \rangle^{g(m)+m-m^*} \langle 0 \rangle^{g(m)} \langle 1 \rangle \\ \sigma^{\wedge} \langle 0 \rangle^{m^*} \langle 1 \rangle \rightarrow \tau^{\wedge} \langle 1 \rangle^{m^*} \langle 0 \rangle^{m^*} \langle 1 \rangle \end{array} \right.$  provided that  $\langle m, g \rangle \in B$ ,  
 otherwise (i.e. for all  $h \in A, \langle m, h \rangle \notin B$ ).

An extract of a line is a line obtained from it as the last in a series of direct extracts, starting from the given line.

Lemma A: If  $\sigma$  is a finite binary sequence terminating in 1, and finite binary  $\sigma'$  extends  $\sigma$ , then if  $\sigma' \xrightarrow{B} \tau, m$  is an A-line and  $\langle B, m \rangle$  is acceptable, there is some line which is an extract of this line whose left sequence is  $\sigma$ ; moreover, there is only one such line.

Given two weak tables, such that every right sequence of the first  $\Pi_1$  ends in 1 if it belongs to an A-line, and is an extension of a left sequence of the second,  $\Pi_2$ , we define the composition,  $\Pi_1 \circ \Pi_2$  as follows: We replace each line of  $\Pi_2$  by as follows: the following line: The line  $\sigma \rightarrow \tau$  or  $\sigma \xrightarrow{B} \tau, m$  is replaced, where  $\tau'$  is the unique (by the partition property of the left sequences of the lines of  $\Pi_1$ ) left sequence extending which  $\tau$  extends which occurs in a line of  $\Pi_1$ , and  $\tau' \xrightarrow{C} \rho, w$  belongs to  $\Pi_1$ , so that by the composition of the  $\Pi_1$  line with  $\tau' \wedge \mu \rightarrow \rho^{\wedge} \mu$ ,  $\mu$  being the binary sequence such that  $\tau = \tau' \wedge \mu$ . If instead  $\tau' \xrightarrow{C} \rho, w$  is the unique line of  $\Pi_1$  with  $\tau'$  as its left sequence, the  $\Pi_2$  line is replaced by the composition of the  $\Pi_1$  line with the unique line, guaranteed by Lemma A, which is an extract of  $\tau' \xrightarrow{C} \rho, w$  and has  $\tau$  as its left sequence (as  $\tau$  extends  $\tau'$  and  $\tau$  ends in 1).

Every  $\beta \in \mathcal{X}$  has the form  $\langle 1 \rangle^{i+j} \langle 0 \rangle^j \wedge 1 \wedge \beta'$  for some ~~unique~~  $\{ \begin{matrix} i, j \in \mathbb{N} \\ i \in \mathbb{J} \cup \{0\} \end{matrix} \}$  and  $\beta' \in \mathcal{X}$ .  
 $i+j$  is the parity of  $\beta$ , and  $j$  is its index.

The function defined by the line  $\sigma \rightarrow \tau$  is that function  $\kappa$  with domain  $[\sigma]$  such that  $\kappa(\sigma \wedge \beta) = \tau \wedge \beta$  for all  $\beta \in \mathcal{X}$ .

The function defined by the line  $\sigma \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \tau, m$ , where  $\langle \mathbb{B}, m \rangle$  is acceptable is that function  $\kappa$  with domain  $[\sigma]$  such that:

{ If  $\beta$  has ~~parity~~ parity  $= -m$ , ~~index~~ index  $= k$ , and  $\langle m, g \rangle \in \mathbb{B}$   
 Then  ~~$\kappa(\sigma \wedge \beta) = \tau \wedge \langle 1 \rangle^{g(k)+m} \langle 0 \rangle^{g(k)} \wedge 1 \wedge \beta'$~~

(here  $\beta = \langle 1 \rangle^{k-m} \langle 0 \rangle^k \wedge \beta'$  and  $k \geq m$  - hence  $g(k)+m \geq m$ ,  $\langle \mathbb{B}, m \rangle$  being acceptable)

{ If  $\beta$  does not have parity  $= -m$  for any  $\langle m, g \rangle \in \mathbb{B}$ ,  
 then  $\kappa(\sigma \wedge \beta) = \tau \wedge \langle 1 \rangle^m \wedge \beta$ .

The function defined by a weak table  $\Gamma$  is that function  $\kappa$  with domain  $\mathcal{X}$  (as the left sequences of the lines of  $\Gamma$  ~~form~~ <sup>yield</sup> intervals forming a partition of  $\mathcal{X}$ ) which is the union of the functions defined by the lines.

A partial table is a set of regular lines and A-lines  $\sigma \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \tau, m$  (such that  $\langle \mathbb{B}, m \rangle$  is acceptable) such that ~~the~~ all the left sequences are distinct and incompatible; the function defined by the partial table is the union of the line functions.

For  $g \in A$  let  $g^* \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$  be the function defined as follows:

$$\begin{cases} g^*(\langle 1 \rangle^m \langle 0 \rangle^m \wedge 1 \wedge \beta) = \langle 1 \rangle^{g(m)} \langle 0 \rangle^{g(m)} \wedge 1 \wedge \beta & \text{for } m \in \mathbb{J}, \beta \in \mathcal{X} \\ g^*(\beta) = \beta & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

; then  $g^*$  is a permutation since  $g$  is.

~~$\mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{C}' \cup A^*$~~  where  $A^* = \{g^* : g \in A\}$ , and  $\mathcal{C}'$  is the group of permutations of  $\mathcal{X}$  which are defined by weak tables with only regular lines (thus, to give permutations, it is necessary and sufficient that the right sequences also ~~form~~ <sup>yield</sup> intervals which partition  $\mathcal{X}$ , the ~~int~~ right sequences all being distinct), let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the group generated by  $A^* \cup \mathcal{C}'$ . ( $\mathcal{C}'$  is finitely generated)

Let  $\mathcal{G}_0$  be the group of all permutations  $p$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  such that, ~~for~~ <sup>for</sup> every  $\beta \in \mathcal{X}$ , there is some finite sequence  $\sigma$  such that  $\beta = \sigma \wedge \beta'$  and  $\exists \tau p(\sigma \wedge \delta) = \tau \wedge \delta$  for some finite sequence  $\tau$ , for all  $\delta \in \mathcal{X}$ .