

Voltage-Graphic Matroids

Thomas Zaslavsky

1. A *voltage graph* [now called a *gain graph*²] is a pair $\Phi = (\Gamma, \varphi)$ consisting of a graph $\Gamma = (N, E)$ and a *voltage* [now *gain function*], a mapping $\varphi : E \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}$ where \mathfrak{G} is a group called the *voltage group* [now *gain group*]. The voltage [gain] on an edge depends on the sense in which the edge is traversed: if for e in one direction the voltage is $\varphi(e)$, then in the opposite direction it is $\varphi(e)^{-1}$. The voltage [gain] on a circle is the product of the edge voltages taken in order with consistent direction; if the product equals 1 the circle is called *balanced*. (While in general the starting point and orientation of C influence its voltage, they have no effect on whether it is balanced.) A subgraph is balanced if every circle in it is balanced. Assuming N is finite, let $n = |N|$ and, for $S \subseteq E$, let $b(S)$ = the number of balanced components of (N, S) .

Matroid Theorem. *The function $\text{rk } S = n - b(S)$ is the rank function of a matroid $G(\Phi)$ on the set E . A set $A \subseteq E$ is closed iff every edge $e \notin A$ has an endpoint in a balanced component of (N, A) but does not combine with edges in A to form a balanced circle. A set is a circuit iff it is a balanced circle or a bicircular graph containing no balanced circle.*

We call $G(\Phi)$ a *voltage-graphic matroid*. [Now, a *frame matroid* or *gain-graphic bias matroid*.] When it is a simple matroid, it is a subgeometry of the Dowling geometry $Q_n(\mathfrak{G})$.

EXAMPLES

- 1) $G(\Gamma)$, the *graphic (polygon) matroid*: $\mathfrak{G} = \{1\}$, $\varphi \equiv 1$.
- 2) Matroids of *signed graphs* Σ : $\mathfrak{G} = \{+1, -1\}$.
- 3) $EC(\Gamma)$, the *even-cycle matroid* (M. Doob, Tutte): $\mathfrak{G} = \{+1, -1\}$, $\varphi \equiv -1$.
- 4) $B(\Gamma)$, the *bicircular matroid* (Simões-Pereira, Klee): $\mathfrak{G} = \mathbb{Z}_2^E$, $\varphi(e) = e$; or \mathfrak{G} = the free abelian group on E , $\varphi(e) = e$.
- 5) $B(\Gamma^\circ)$, $\Gamma^\circ = \Gamma$ with a loop at every node. The lattice of flats is the set of spanning forests of Γ .

¹This is the original article, reset in LaTeX, with updated terminology and references in square brackets, June, 2006.

²[The name was changed to avoid confusion with Kirchhoff's voltage laws.]

- 6) $ED(\vec{\Gamma})$, the equidirected circle matroid of a digraph $\vec{\Gamma}$ (Matthews): $\mathfrak{G} = \mathbb{Z}$, $\varphi(e) = +1$ when e is taken in the direction assigned by $\vec{\Gamma}$. (Similarly one has $ED_n(\vec{\Gamma})$, the equidirected circle matroid modulo n , when $\mathfrak{G} = \mathbb{Z}_n$.)
- 7) $A(\vec{\Gamma})$, the anticoherent cycle matroid of $\vec{\Gamma}$ (Matthews): $\mathfrak{G} =$ the free group on N , $\varphi(e) = vw$ if e is directed $v \rightarrow w$.
- 8) $\Phi = \mathfrak{G} \cdot \Delta$, $\Delta =$ a graph on n nodes; Φ is Δ with each edge replaced by every possible \mathfrak{G} -labeled edge.
- 9) $Q_n(\mathfrak{G})$, the Dowling geometry of rank n of \mathfrak{G} , is $G(\mathfrak{G} \cdot K_n^\circ)$.

2. Now let \mathfrak{G} have finite order g . A *proper μ -coloring* of Φ is a mapping

$$\kappa : N \rightarrow \{0\} \cup (\{1, \dots, \mu\} \times \mathfrak{G})$$

such that, for any edge e from v to w (including loops), we have $\kappa(v) \neq 0$ or $\kappa(w) \neq 0$ and also

$$\kappa_1(v) \neq \kappa_1(w) \text{ or } \kappa_2(w) \neq \kappa_2(v)\varphi(e) \text{ if } \kappa(v), \kappa(w) \neq 0,$$

where κ_1 and κ_2 are the numerical and group parts of κ . Let $\chi_\Phi(\mu g + 1) =$ the number of proper μ -colorings of Φ and let $\chi_\Phi^b(\mu g) =$ the number which do not take the value 0.

Chromatic Polynomial Theorem. $\chi_\Phi(\mu g + 1)$ is a polynomial in μ . Indeed $\chi_\Phi(\lambda) = \lambda^{b(E)}p(\lambda)$, where $p(\lambda)$ is the characteristic polynomial of $G(\Phi)$.

Balanced Chromatic Polynomial Theorem. $\chi_\Phi^b(\mu g)$ is a polynomial in μ . Indeed $\chi_\Phi^b(\lambda) = \sum_A \mu(\emptyset, A)\lambda^{b(A)}$, summed over balanced flats $A \subseteq E$.

Fundamental Theorem. Let $\chi_X^b(\lambda)$ denote the balanced chromatic polynomial of the induced voltage graph on $X \subseteq N$. Then

$$\chi_\Phi(\lambda) = \sum_{X \text{ stable}} \chi_X^b(\lambda - 1).$$

This theorem reduces calculation of $\chi_\Phi(\lambda)$, or of $p(\lambda)$, to that of $\chi_\Phi^b(\lambda)$, which is often easy.

EXAMPLES (continued)

- 1) $\chi_\Phi^b(\lambda) = \chi_\Gamma(\lambda)$.
- 4) $\chi_\Phi^b(\lambda) = \sum_k (-1)^{n-k} f_k \lambda^k$, where $f_k =$ the number of k -tree spanning forests in Γ .
- 3) $\chi_\Phi^b(\lambda) = \sum_A 2^{n-\text{rk} A} \chi_{\Gamma/A}(\lambda/2)$, summed over flats A of $G(\Gamma)$.
- 8) $\chi_\Phi^b(\lambda) = g^n \chi_\Delta(\lambda/g)$.
- 9) $p(Q_n(\mathfrak{G}); \lambda) = g^n ((\lambda - 1)/g)_n$, where $(x)_n$ is the falling factorial.

3. There is a geometric realization when $\mathfrak{G} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$. Let $\mathcal{H}[\Phi]$ be the set of all hyperplanes $x_j = \varphi(e)x_i$ in \mathbb{R}^n where $e \in E$ is an edge from v_i to v_j .

Representation Theorem. *The lattice of all intersections of subsets of $\mathcal{H}[\Phi]$, ordered by reverse inclusion, is isomorphic to the lattice of flats of $G(\Phi)$.*

Corollary. *$\mathcal{H}[\Phi]$ cuts \mathbb{R}^n into $|\chi_\Phi(-1)|$ regions (n -dimensional cells).*

4. Each Φ has a covering graph $\tilde{\Phi} = (\mathfrak{G} \times N, \mathfrak{G} \times E)$, an unlabelled graph. If e goes from v to w , the covering edge (g, e) extends from (g, v) to $(g\varphi(e), w)$. Let $p : \mathfrak{G} \times E \rightarrow E$ be the covering projection.

Covering Theorem. *A set $S \subseteq E$ is closed in $G(\Phi)$ iff $p^{-1}(S)$ is closed in $G(\tilde{\Phi})$.*

5. The Matroid Theorem does not essentially require a voltage. All we need is a specified class of “balanced” circles in Γ , such that if two circles in a theta graph are balanced, then the third is also. The pair (Γ, \mathcal{B}) is a *biased graph*. Although a biased graph cannot be colored in the usual sense, it has algebraically defined “chromatic polynomials” that satisfy the Fundamental Theorem.

REFERENCES

- T. A. Dowling, “A class of geometric lattices based on finite groups”, *J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. B*, **14** (1973), 61–86. MR 46 #7066. Erratum, *ibid.* **15** (1973), 211. MR 47 #8369.
- L. R. Matthews, “Matroids from directed graphs”, *Discrete Math.* **24** (1978), 47–61.
- T. Zaslavsky, “Biased graphs”, manuscript, 1977. [Biased graphs. I. Bias, balance, and gains. II. The three matroids. III. Chromatic and dichromatic invariants. IV. Geometrical realizations. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **47** (1989), 32–52; **51** (1991), 46–72; **64** (1995), 17–88; **89** (2003), 231–297. Complete development of the basic theory of frame and lift matroids with many examples.]
- T. Zaslavsky, “Signed graphs”, submitted. Proofs of the Matroid, Covering, and Representation Theorems for signed graphs, and examples. [*Discrete Appl. Math.*, **4** (1982), 47–74. Erratum, *ibid.*, 5 (1983), 248.]
- T. Zaslavsky, “Signed graph coloring” and “Chromatic invariants of signed graphs”, submitted. Proofs of coloring and enumeration results. [*Discrete Math.*, **39** (1982), 214–228, and *Discrete Math.*, **42** (1982), 287–312.]
- T. Zaslavsky, “Bicircular geometry and the lattice of forests of a graph”, submitted. Details on the bicircular and forest examples and their geometric realizations. [*Quart. J. Math. Oxford* (2), **33** (1982), 493–511.]
- [T. Zaslavsky, “Frame matroids and biased graphs,” *European J. Combin.* **15** (1994), 303–307.]

Department of Mathematics
The Ohio State University
231 West 18th Avenue
Columbus, Ohio 43210
U.S.A.